

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789.491	02/26/2004	Mitsuaki Moritani	088485-0245	7997
23392 FOLEY & LAI	7590 03/14/2007	EXAMINER		
2029 CENTUR	Y PARK EAST	VY, HUNG T		
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
120071.4011122			2163	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<u>* </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/789,491	MORITANI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Hung T. Vy	2163
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. Itimely filed In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Jac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 & 17-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression is sometimes.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in Proceive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. As of entry of the Applicant's amendment filed on 01/03/2007, claims 1-20 are pending in this application with claims 12-16 had been withdrawn. Upon reconsideration, the rejection of claims 1-12 and 17-20 by Grimes or Ying et al. mailed on 10/2/2006 is hereby withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Knowles et al. and Grimes et al. The Applicant should cancel clams 12-16.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Knowles et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20030046703) in view of Grimes et al. (U.S. Patent No. 20020057297).

With respect to claims 1, 8 and 17, Knowles et al. discloses a content management apparatus comprising: first acquiring (12) means for acquiring content information indicative of attributes of content stored (0031-0033 and 0027-0028) in a first storage device 16 connected to a first network (see fig. 2) that complies with a first communication protocol (0023); second acquiring (anther 12) means for acquiring content information indicative of attributes of content (0031-0033 and 0027-0028)

stored in a second storage device (anther 16) connect to a second network that complies with a second communication protocol different (universal plug and play, Jini and Salutation) with the first communication protocol (0023); and sorting means (i.e., "a categorizer module 40 that is configured to communicate with the aggregator mode 30 and to use content meta information stored by the aggregator module to arrange the content stored within the network devices into one or more content categories based upon the content itself, and/or based upon stored rules for the user(0027)) for when creating list information of the content (i.e., "arrange the content stored")) stored in the first (16) and second storage devices (another16) using the content information acquired by the first and second acquiring means (0027-0028) but Knowles et al. does not discloses displaying the list information in a unified format, executing sort processing of the list information according to setting information defining display methods on a user's interface.

However, Grimes et al. discloses displaying the list information (see fig. 5-7) in a unified format (*i.e.*, "hyper-text markup language"(0044)), executing sort processing (*i.e.*, "content 20 sorted by category and source" (0044)) of the list information according to setting information defining display methods on a user's interface (0032 or fig. 5-7). Grimes et al. discloses using user interface to management the data content in the network. Incorporating such User Interface also renders the over all that displaying content list includes any content represented by the selected category button and that is provided by the source of content represent by selected source button, thereby making the system managing with an easy to use (Grimes, 0009-0010). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Knowles et al.'s system with the teaching of Grimes et al. as displaying the list

information in a unified format, executing sort processing of the list information according to setting information defining display methods on user's interface in order to provide a personalized content manager, whereby a user can personalize multimedia content received from a multimedia content delivery system and provides an easy to user, customizable interface for viewing, listening or otherwise accessing multimedia content (Grimes et al., 0009-0010).

With respect to claims 2 and 18, Grimes et al. discloses the sorting means executes sort processing of the list information according to information in a setting file defining display methods for use when identical content is present (see paragraph 0043),using the information in the setting file as the setting information (see paragraph 0047).

With respect to claim 3, Grimes discloses the sorting means determines whether identical content information (categories) is present among the content information acquired by the acquiring means based on conditions (filter) for determining whether identical content is present (title), and executes designated sort processing of the list information including the identical content information (see paragraph 0047).

With respect to claim 4, Grimes discloses the sorting means determines whether identical content information is present among the content information acquired by the acquiring means according to judgment information (receive user filter 154) for determining whether identical content is present (using the filter)(see fig. 8), and executes designated sort processing of the list information including the identical content information according to information in a setting file defining display methods

Art Unit: 2163

for use when identical content is present, using the information in the setting file as the setting information (see fig. 8).

With respect to claim 5, Grimes discloses the setting information is information in a setting file containing information for determining, for each category of content (title), whether identical content is present and information defining display methods for user when identical content is present (see fig. 8).

With respect to claim 6, Grimes discloses display means for displaying in list form the list information sorted by the sorting means (see fig. 12-13).

With respect to claims 7 and 19, Grimes discloses sorting means is further operative for creating display list information according to filter-setting information (receive user filter 154) defining additional display method (see fig. 8).

With respect to claims 9, and 20, Grimes discloses the sorting means executes processing in which content failing to meet a content reproduction condition defined by the filter-setting information (user defines the filter) is removed from the list information (see figs. 9-12).

With respect to claim 10, Crimes discloses the sorting means creates the display list information defining a display style of content information of contend failing to meet a content reproduction condition defined by the filter-setting information (user define the filter)(see figs. 8-12), which is different from a display style designated to other content information (the move category and music category in fig. 5 have different type displaying)(see fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2163

With respect to claim 11, Crimes discloses the filter-setting information is information in a file defining, for each reproduction format of content, a permissible range of transmission speeds of a server managing content as a content reproduction condition, and each designation information of a display style for use when transmission speed of the server is outside the permissible range (see paragraph 0024-0025).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/03/2007 have been fully considered and the rejection of claims 1-12 and 17-20 by Grimes or Ying et al. mailed on 10/2/2006 is hereby withdrawn but some of them are not persuasive. Applicant made the following arguments:

"Grimes also fails to disclose or suggest sorting means for, when creating list information of the content stored in the first and second storage devices using the content information acquired by the first and second acquiring means and displaying the list information in a unified format, executing sort processing of the list information according to setting information defining display methods on a user's interface. Although Grimes discloses a filtering technique related to content, Grimes merely discloses sorting of content into various categories (see [0043]), but not sort processing according to setting information that defines how the information is displayed on a user's interface." page 9, last paragraph.

The Applicant's arguments above are not persuasive because Knowles et al. and Grimes discloses sorting means (Grimes, i.e. "type of category into which content is arranged is

Art Unit: 2163

dependent on the given content format and upon store rules" (0027)) list information (Grimes, i.e. "listing of all jazz audio files on the network" (0032) or "audio player 52 to retrieve and play audio content from the various network devices 12 in response to user selection of channel created by the categorizer module 40" (0033)) and display the content with different format depend to setting information defining display method on a user's interface (Grimmer, 0035-0036, 0043 and fig. 5). Further, Grimes discloses the sorting of content into various categories (0043) and also executing sort processing of the list information defining display methods on user's interface as user defines the display by the query, the result of query will display on the user interface (see fig. 5-7). This is setting information defining display method on a user's interface.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2163

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung T. Vy whose telephone number is 571-2721954. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am - 5.30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2163 March 6, 2007 Application/Control Number: 10/789,491

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

Page 9

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung T. Vy whose telephone number is 571-2721954. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am - 5.30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2163

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2163 September 21, 2006.

TIM VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100